UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parlent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Alexadrin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,770	11/12/2003	Ryoichi Nagayoshi	10873.1355US01	7405
53148 7590 02:06/2009 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON P.C. P.O. BOX 2902-0902			EXAMINER	
			DURNFORD GESZVAIN, DILLON	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/712,770 NAGAYOSHI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit 2622 Dillon Durnford-Geszvain -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-16.18-24.29-32.36 and 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-16.29-32 and 36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18-24 and 39 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Netice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/38) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_ 6) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 2622

# DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

 Claims 3-16, 18-24, 29-32, 36 and 39 are pending, claims 18-24 have been amended, claim 39 is newly added, and claims 1, 2, 17, 25-28, 33-35, 37 and 38 are cancelled.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/14/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Ikeda cannot be combined with lizuki because they teach different ways of mixing pixels. Iizuki teaches combining signal charges from pixels different columns in the horizontal transfer part, and Ikeda teaches combining signal charges from pixels in the same column. The Applicant argues that this difference makes the combination of the references improper because it's not possible to incorporate the method used by Ikeda in the imager used by Iizuki.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments. The Examiner relied on Ikeda to teach that a pixel mixture group can contain signal charges from three pixels. Therefore, the manner in which Ikeda combines the pixels is irrelevant. Ikeda was relied upon to suggest that combining of lizuki could be extended to groups of 2n+1 pixels. Therefore, the rejection will be maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,770 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 3-12, 14-16, 29-32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,686,960 (lizuka) in view of US 6,423,959 (lkeda et al.).

As to claim 3, lizuka teaches a solid-state image sensing device1 (see Fig. 4), comprising:

vertical transfer parts 4 provided corresponding to respective columns of bidimensionally arranged pixels 2 to vertically transfer signal charges read out from the pixels; and

a horizontal transfer part 7 for horizontally transferring the signal charges received from the vertical transfer parts,

Art Unit: 2622

wherein the vertical transfer parts include transfer stages, those located closest to the horizontal transfer part being vertical last stages (the part of 4 over the transfer gates 6 is the vertical last stages, see Column 8 lines 34-38), and the vertical last stages have transfer electrodes formed to have identical configurations repeated every m (m denotes an integer of 2 or higher) columns (see Figs. 8 and 9), and

vertical last stages of columns other than one of the m columns or all vertical last stages of the m columns each are provided with a transfer electrode that is independent of those of other vertical last stages of the m columns so that an operation of transferring signal charges from the vertical last stages concerned to the horizontal transfer part is controlled independently of said other vertical last stages (Column 8 lines 24-46).

the integer m is 2n+1 (n denotes an integer of 1 or higher) (see Figs. 8 and 9 and note that m is 3).

wherein signal charges of pixels included in each of first and second pixel mixture groups are added together in the horizontal transfer part (se Fig. 6 and Column 9 lines 12-16),

where the first pixel mixture groups each are composed of two pixels arranged at every other pixel in a horizontal direction of the bidimensionally arranged pixels (G11 and G13 of Fig. 6), and

the second pixel mixture groups each are composed of 2 pixels that are arranged at every other pixel and are pixels other than those of the first pixel mixture groups in the horizontal direction of the bidimensionally arranged pixels

Art Unit: 2622

(R14 and R16 of Fig. 6), with centers of gravity of the pixels of the respective second pixel mixture groups each being located at an equal distance from centers of gravity of the pixels of two first pixel mixture groups adjacent thereto (see Fig. 6 and note that this is just a sample of the imager and it goes on to include columns 7 and higher).

lizuka further teaches that more than three odd numbered pixels may be added (Column 6 line 67 to Column 7 line 1 or Column 21 lines 14-17, for example).

What lizuka does not explicitly teach is that the first and second pixel mixture groups contain specifically 2n + 1 pixels, where n is an integer greater than or equal to one. However, Ikeda et al. teaches adding pixels together with groups consisting of 2n + 1 pixels (see Fig. 16). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have enlarged the blocks of lizuka so that they would include 2n + 1 pixels, such as is done by Ikeda et al. as this would allow for a higher frame rate than what lizuka which would facilitate high speed capture (see Column 16 lines 19-22 of Ikeda).

Note that in this combination the blocks depicted in Fig. 6 of lizuka would simply be extended to 5 pixels instead of 3.

As to claim 4, see the rejection of claim 3 and note that lizuka in view of lkeda et al. further teaches the solid-state image sensing device according to claim 3, wherein with respect to each of the first and second pixel mixture groups Application/Control Number: 10/712,770
Art Unit: 2622

present in the vertical last stages,

- (a1) only signal charges of pixels located furthest from an output side of the horizontal transfer part in the respective pixel mixture groups each composed of the 2n+1 pixels are transferred from the vertical last stages to the horizontal transfer part (see Fig. 14B of lizuka, for example),
- (a2) the signal charges present in the horizontal transfer part are transferred in a forward direction by a distance corresponding to two pixels (see Fig. 14C of lizuka),
- (a3) only signal charges of pixels that have signal charges remaining in the vertical last stages and are located furthest from the output side of the horizontal transfer part in the respective pixel mixture groups each composed of the 2n+1 pixels are transferred from the vertical last stages to the horizontal transfer part (see Fig. 14D of lizuka), and
- (a4) transfer operations a2 and a3 are repeated until all signal charges of the pixel mixture groups each composed of 2n+1 pixels are transferred from the vertical last stages to the horizontal transfer part (see Fig. 14D).

As to claim 5, see the rejection of claim 4 and note that lizuka in view of lkeda et al. further teaches the solid-state image sensing device according to claim 4, wherein further

(b1) as the last operation of transfer operations a1 to a4, signal charges present in the vertical transfer parts of all the columns are transferred to respective next stages after or at the same time a signal charge of the last pixel

Art Unit: 2622

included in each of the pixel mixture groups each composed of 2n+1 pixels is transferred from the vertical last stage to the horizontal transfer part (see Fig. 15F of lizuka),

- (b2) with respect to signal charges transferred to the vertical last stages by transfer operation b1, the transfer operations a1 to a4 are carried out (see Figs. 15G and 15H), and
- (b3) transfer operations b1 and b2 are repeated until signal charges included in 2n+1 stages are transferred to the horizontal transfer part (see Fig 15H).

As to claim **6**, see the rejection of claim **3** and note that iizuka further teaches the solid-state image sensing device according to claim **3**, wherein the vertical last stages located closest to the horizontal transfer part of the vertical transfer parts have transfer electrodes formed to have identical configurations repeated every three columns, and

vertical last stages of at least the second and third columns of the three columns, counted as from an output side of the horizontal transfer part, each are provided with a transfer electrode that is independent of those of the other vertical last stages so that an operation of transferring signal charges from the respective vertical last stages concerned to the horizontal transfer part is controlled independently of the other vertical last stages (see Figs. 8 and 9).

As to claim 7, see the rejection of claim 6 and note that lizuka et al. further

Art Unit: 2622

teaches the solid-state image sensing device according to claim **6**, wherein a vertical last stage of the first column counted as from the output side of the horizontal transfer part has an electrode configuration that is identical to those of stages other than the vertical last stage of the first column (see Figs. 8 and 9).

As to claim 8, see the rejection of claim 6 and note that claim 8 corresponds to claim 3 but specifies that n = 1. Therefore, claim 8 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 3 but with n = 1.

Claim **9** depends from claim **6** and roughly corresponds to claim **4** with n = 1 and therefore, claim **9** is rejected on the same grounds as claim **4** but with n = 1.

Claim 10 depends from claim 9 and roughly corresponds to claim 5 with n = 1 and therefore, claim 10 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 5 but with n = 1.

As to claim 11, see the rejection of claim 3 and note that lizuka teaches reading out a 3X3 box and adding the pixels (see Fig. 16). Note that if lizuka were combined with Ikeda et al. as in claim 3 this would be a 5X5 box and 9 pixels would be read out.

As to claim 12, see the rejection of claim 11 and note that lizuka in view of

Art Unit: 2622

Ikeda et al. would further teach the solid-state image sensing device according to claim 11, wherein the one pixel mixture group is composed of nine pixels arranged in three rows located at every other row in the vertical direction, with three pixels arranged at every other pixel in the horizontal direction being included in each of the three rows (see Fig. 16 of lizuka and note that it would contain 9 pixels if extrapolated to a 5X5 box as discussed in claim 11).

As to claim 14, see the rejection of claim 3 and note that lizuka in view of lkeda et al. would further teach the solid-state image sensing device according to claim 3, wherein one pixel mixture group is composed of three pixels arranged at every other pixel in the horizontal direction in each of rows located at every three rows in the vertical direction (note that the pixel groups are already taught as containing three pixels arranged at every other pixel and there are pixel mixing groups located every third row in a 5X5 box).

As claim 15, see the rejection of claim 3 and note that lizuka further teaches the solid-state image sensing device according to claim 3, wherein the bidimensionally arranged pixels are provided with color filters arranged so that four pixels of (two pixels arranged horizontally).times.(two pixels arranged vertically) form one unit (see Fig. 4).

As to claim 16, see the rejection of claim 15 and note that lizuka further teaches the solid-state image sensing device according to claim 15, wherein the

Art Unit: 2622

color filters are arranged so that a first color filter (green) is provided for two pixels, of the four pixels, located on one diagonal line, and second and third color filters (blue and red) are provided for the other two pixels, respectively (see Fig. 4).

As to claim 29, lizuka teaches a solid-state image sensing device1 (see Fig. 4), comprising:

vertical transfer parts 4 provided corresponding to respective columns of bidimensionally arranged pixels 2 to vertically transfer signal charges read out from the pixels; and

a horizontal transfer part 7 for horizontally transferring the signal charges received from the vertical transfer parts,

wherein the vertical transfer parts include transfer stages, those located closest to the horizontal transfer part being vertical last stages (the part of 4 over the transfer gates 6 is the vertical last stages, see Column 8 lines 34-38), and the vertical last stages have transfer electrodes formed to have identical configurations repeated every m (m denotes an integer of 2 or higher) columns (see Figs. 8 and 9), and

vertical last stages of columns other than one of the m columns or all vertical last stages of the m columns each are provided with a transfer electrode that is independent of those of other vertical last stages of the m columns so that an operation of transferring signal charges from the vertical last stages concerned to the horizontal transfer part is controlled independently of said other

Art Unit: 2622

vertical last stages (Column 8 lines 24-46).

lizuka further teaches that more than three odd numbered pixels may be added (Column 6 line 67 to Column 7 line 1 or Column 21 lines 14-17, for example), it does not teach that the mode can be switched between the number of pixels to be added.

However, lkeda teaches three different modes for reading out in movie mode (see Figs. 12-14) where 2, 3 or 4 pixels are mixed. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added at least modes such as those taught by Ikeda et al. to the invention of lizuka as this would allow for the quantity of light to be effectively increased for performing previewing (Column 15 lines 66-67 of Ikeda et al.).

As to claim 30, see the rejection of claim 29 and note that lizuka in view of lkeda et al. further teaches the solid-state image sensing device according to claim 29, further comprising color filters of three colors (red green and blue) arranged in a repeated pattern in which among the color filters, those of two out of the three colors are arranged vertically and those of two out of the three colors are arranged horizontally (see Fig. 4 of lizuka), wherein the operation mode can be switched selectively between at least two modes including a mode of mixing m<sub>1</sub> pixels arranged horizontally and a mode of mixing m<sub>2</sub> pixels arranged horizontally, with the m<sub>1</sub> pixels and m<sub>2</sub> pixels being provided with filters having one of the three colors of the color filters, respectively (see Column 6 line 67 to Column 7 line 1 or Column 21 lines 14-17, for example).

Art Unit: 2622

As to claim 31, see the rejection of claim 29 and note that lizuka in view of lkeda et al. further teaches the solid-state image sensing device according to claim 29, further comprising color filters of three colors (red green and blue) arranged in a repeated pattern in which among the color filters, those of two out of the three colors are arranged vertically and those of two out of the three colors are arranged horizontally (see Fig. 4 of lizuka), wherein the operation mode can be switched selectively between at least two modes selected from a mode of mixing two pixels arranged horizontally, a mode of mixing three pixels arranged horizontally, and a mode of mixing four pixels arranged horizontally, with the two, three, and four pixels being provided with filters having one of the three colors of the color filters, respectively (see the rejection of claim 29 and note that the mixing as is done in lizuka is done horizontally and thus when combined with lkeda et al. the mixing of 2, 3 or 4 pixels would be done horizontally).

As to claim 32, see the rejection of claim 29 and note that lizuka teaches a mode of mixing no pixels (Column 8 lines 24-26).

As to independent claim 36, see the rejection of claim 3 and note that lizuka in view of Ikeda further teaches a camera, comprising a solid-state image sensing device according to claim 3 (see Fig. 23 of Ikeda).

Art Unit: 2622

 Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,686,960 (lizuka) in view of US 6,423,959 (lkeda et al.) further in view of US 7,199,826 (Uya).

As to claim 13, see the rejection of claim 3 and note that what neither lizuka nor Ikeda et al. teach I mixing six pixels in two rows, where three rows are skippedbetween the two read rows. However, lizuka in view of Ikeda et al. teaches reading three pixels in a row. Further, Uya teaches a method of mixing pixels where three rows are skipped in-between read rows. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have mixed two of the rows of Iizuka in view of Ikeda et al. resulting in the mixing of six pixels and to have separated the read-out rows by three rows as this would result in an image with six times the sensitivity of an ordinary image that can be read out faster than an ordinary image (see, for example, Column 15 lines 63-67 of Ikeda et al.).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 18-24 and 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: as to claims 18-24, see the Office Action mailed 6/27/2007.

Art Unit: 2622

9. Claim 39 is objected to as allowable for reasons similar to claim 18.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dillon Durnford-Geszvain whose telephone number is (571)272-2829. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,770 Page 15

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dillon Durnford-Geszvain

2/2/2009

/David L. Ometz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622